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#### Abstract

After the manner in which coenzymes often participate in the binding of substrates in the active sites of enzymes, pillar[5]arene, a macrocycle containing five hydroquinone rings linked through their para positions by methylene bridges, modifies the binding properties of cucurbit[6]uril, such that the latter templates azide-alkyne cycloadditions that do not occur in the presence of only the cucurbit[6]uril, a macrocycle composed of six glycoluril residues doubly linked through their nitrogen atoms to each other by methylene groups. Here, we describe how a combination of pillar[5]arene and cucurbit[6]uril interacts cooperatively with bipyridinium dications substituted on their nitrogen atoms with 2-azidoethyl- to 5 -azidopentyl moieties to afford, as a result of orthogonal templation, two [4]rotaxanes and one [5]rotaxane in $>90 \%$ yields inside 2 h at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in acetonitrile. Since the hydroxyl groups on pillar[5]arene and  the carbonyl groups on cucurbit[6]uril form hydrogen bonds readily, these two macrocycles work together in a cooperative fashion to the extent that the four conformational isomers of pillar[5]arene can be trapped on the dumbbell components of the [4]rotaxanes. In the case of the [5]rotaxane, it is possible to isolate a compound containing two pillar[5]arene rings with local $C_{5}$ symmetries. In addition to fixing the stereochemistries of the pillar[5]arene rings, the regiochemistries associated with the 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions have been extended in their constitutional scope. Under mild conditions, orthogonal recognition motifs have been shown to lead to templation with positive cooperativity that is fast and all but quantitative, as well as being green and efficient.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Complex biological molecules and assemblies are, more often than not, constructed under the guidance of nature's catalysts, the enzymes, which exploit noncovalent bonding interactions to recognize and bring together substrates with high specificities, lowering the transition state energies for the chemical reactions they catalyze. In certain cases, nonprotein molecules, known as cofactors, are necessary to trigger the activity of the otherwise latent enzymic machinery. Although the catalytic power of enzymes is rooted in a number of different phenomena operating in concert, ${ }^{1}$ preorganization which orients the enzyme's active sites and substrates in space relative to each other is undoubtedly of paramount importance. Inspired by nature, chemists have developed elegant supramolecular systems which exploit noncovalent bonding interactions, ${ }^{2}$ e.g., hydrogen bonding, ${ }^{3}$ hydrophobic forces, ${ }^{4}$ and van der Waals interactions ${ }^{5}$ to control the relative positioning of substrates in receptors. These systems, which act as simplified models of enzymes, can (i) accelerate reactions by increasing the effective molarity and/or preorganizing reactants, (ii) direct substrates along reaction paths which they would not otherwise follow, and (iii) discriminate between compounds bearing similar
functional groups as a consequence of selective binding. ${ }^{1}$ Many successful designs utilize macrocyclic scaffolds such as cyclodextrins, ${ }^{6}$ crown ethers, ${ }^{7}$ metallocycles, ${ }^{8}$ calixarenes, ${ }^{9}$ and cucurbiturils ${ }^{10}$ as receptors to encapsulate substrates in their cavities, thus increasing the effective concentrations, and so accelerating the reaction.

Among these macrocylic compounds, cucurbit[6]uril (CB) was first reported by Mock et al. ${ }^{11}$ to act as a catalyst in the alkyne-azide 1,3 -dipolar cycloaddition ${ }^{12}$ (AAC), the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ catalyzed variant of which has been popularized as the quintessential "click" reaction, ${ }^{13}$ giving rise to 1,4 -disubstituted triazoles regioselectively with a considerable acceleration of the reaction rate, often ca. $10^{5}$-fold compared to the uncatalyzed reaction. Since its discovery by Mock, the CB-catalyzed 1,3dipolar cycloaddition (CB-AAC) has found application in the construction of polymers, ${ }^{14}$ mechanically interlocked molecules (MIMs) ${ }^{15}$ and pH -responsive controlled-release systems. ${ }^{16}$

Despite the fact that both the CB-AAC and the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ catalyzed cycloaddition (CuAAC) exhibit favorable kinetics and

[^0]regiospecificities, the former has found comparatively few applications compared to the now ubiquitous CuAAC. Perhaps the fact that CB is not as freely available as copper salts and is less convenient to handle because of its poor solubility ${ }^{17}$ in water ${ }^{18}$ and other common laboratory solvents ${ }^{19}$ add up to explanations of a sort. The greatest drawback of the CB-AAC, however, is its substrate scope: to date, all reports are restricted to describing reactions between propargylammonium and azidoethylammonium derivatives. This limited scope can be rationalized by considering the cyclization mechanism and noncovalent bonding interactions which underpin the reaction. CB-AAC proceeds by means of the initial formation of a heteroternary complex, which renders the cyclization a pseudounimolecular process as a result of bringing the triple bond in the propargylammonium ion and the azide function in the azidoethylammonium ion into close proximity as well as aligning them so that they are poised to undergo trizaole ring formation. ${ }^{11 a, 20}$ The entropic cost of bringing the CB and AAC precursors together is compensated ${ }^{21}$ for by the favorable binding enthalpy and the release of high energy water molecules from the cavity of the CB. Charge-dipole and hydrogen-bonding interactions between the secondary dialkylammonium ions and the carbonyl groups around the rim of the CB are maximized when the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{+}$centers are close to the planes of carbonyl oxygens, a requirement that dictates the geometry of the ternary complex. Consequently, altering the distance between the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{+}$centers and the alkyne/azide groups in the substrates disturbs the near-perfect alignment in the ternary complex, a geometry that is necessary in order to to lower the transition-state energy of the AAC. ${ }^{22}$

Recently, we developed a cooperative capture strategy ${ }^{23,24}$ for the assembly of MIMs that exploits the acceleration of the CB-AAC (Scheme 1a) in the presence of either $\beta$-cyclodextrin

Scheme 1. 1,3-Dipolar Alkyne-azide Cycloaddition
Catalyzed by (a) a CB Ring or (b) a Combination of CB and CD Rings or (c) CB and P Rings, the Last of Which
Supports a Wider Range of Substrates, e.g.,
Propargylammonium with 2-Azidoethylpyridium to 5-Azidopentylpyridium Salts
a) CB-AAC and CD-CB-AAC


## b) P-CB-AAC


$(\beta-\mathrm{CD})$ or $\gamma$-cyclodextrin $(\gamma-\mathrm{CD})$, resulting in rapid and quantitative formation of [4]rotaxanes and higher oligorotaxanes. Hydrogen bonding between the rims of two CB rings and a CD torus gives rise to positive cooperativity ${ }^{25}$ in the multicomponent assembly, boosting the rate of the CD-CBAAC (Scheme 1a) on account of the ensemble's greatly
enhanced binding affinity for functionalized secondary dialkylammonium guests. Based on these initial findings, we envisaged that the observed positive cooperativity need not be restricted to CDs; other macrocycles, which are capable of multivalent (also bifurcated) hydrogen bonding and are complementary in shape or size to CB, might also aid and abet the efficient synthesis of oligorotaxanes.

Here, we report that the alkyne-azide 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition (AAC) templated by cucurbit[6]uril (CB), call it (Scheme 1b) the P-CB-AAC reaction, is also promoted by pillararenes, ${ }^{26}$ a family of macrocycles composed of 5-10 hydroquinone rings linked through their para-positions by methylene bridges, as well as discovering that this combination of macrocycles is more accommodating to cationic substrates of different lengths, e.g., from 2- to 5 -azidopentylbipyridinium units. It transpires that pillar[5]arene ( P ) alleviates the strict conformational preference of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CB}-\mathrm{AAC}$ reaction by stabilizing the geometry in which the positively charged bipyridinium (BIPY ${ }^{2+}$ ) units move away from the portals of the CB, acting ${ }^{27,28}$ as a "molecular gasket". In the same manner as a cofactor may be necessary to stabilize the catalytically active geometry of an enzyme, P modifies the binding properties of CB such that it will template the cycloaddition of substrates which are unreactive in the presence of CB alone. When the substrate length is increased, then two P gaskets bridge the longer gaps between CB rings, allowing both P containing [4]- and [5]rotaxanes to be obtained in high yields. The four possible conformational isomers of the P rings that result from steric hindrance of the oxygen-through-the-annulus rotation of the hydroquinone rings are trapped as mixtures on the dumbbell components of these rotaxanes.

## 2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to harness the positive cooperativity ${ }^{24,25}$ observed in our preliminary investigations ${ }^{23}$ on oligo- and polyrotaxanes incorporating CB and CDs, it is useful to have a good understanding of the distribution of charge on the rims of these two rings. Examination of the calculated electrostatic potential map of CB reveals that the carbonyl oxygen atoms which adorn the periphery (Figure 1a) support high electron densities. The


Figure 1. Electrostatic potential maps of (a) a CB ring, (b) a $\beta$-CD (secondary face) ring, and (c) a P ring, revealing the carbonyl oxygens (negatively charged, red) of the CB and the hydroxyl groups on each rim of the $\beta-\mathrm{CD}$ and P (positively charged, in blue) rings.
hydroxyl groups encircling the rims of $\beta$-CD (Figure 1b) are complementary, bearing as they do weak positive charges capable of acting as hydrogen bond donors. Although the rims of CB and $\beta$-CD are not matched in size, we discovered in our previous investigations ${ }^{23}$ that $\beta$-CD still forms sufficiently strong hydrogen-bonding networks with two CB rings to favor [4]rotaxane formation. P presents an array of five phenolic

Scheme 2. Synthesis of the Hetero[4]rotaxanes $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and $3 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and the Hetero[5]rotaxanes $4 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and 5C5R$4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, Starting from $[1 \subset \mathrm{CB}] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right], \mathrm{P}$, and the Viologen Derivatives ( $2 \mathrm{CV}-5 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ ), Respectively

hydroxyl groups on each face; analysis of their electrostatic potential map (Figure 1c) confirms that the hydroxyl groups on these macrocycles are more polarized than the hydroxyl groups on $\beta$-CD (Figure 1b), suggesting that they should take part in stronger hydrogen bonding interactions ${ }^{29}$ with CB . In addition, P is the most easily accessible and thermodynamically stable pillararene homologue. While it does not commute perfectly with the 6 -fold symmetry of $\mathrm{CB}, \mathrm{P}$ is highly complementary in terms of rim size. For these reasons, it appeared to be a promising candidate to enhance the CB-AAC. ${ }^{30}$ Accordingly, P was selected for further investigation of the cooperative capture process during the synthesis of oligorotaxanes.

Synthesis of Hetero[n]rotaxanes. On account of the different solubility profiles for $C B$ and $P$, we were unable to find a solvent combination to dissolve both rings simultaneously: although $C B$ can be induced into an aqueous solution in the presence of cations, P is insoluble in water. The problem was overcome by preforming the organic-soluble alkyne $\subset C B$ precursor by an "inclusion-followed-by-precipitation" process. ${ }^{31}$ A 1:1 mixture of $N$-(3,5-dimethoxybenzyl) propargylammonium chloride ( $1 \cdot \mathrm{Cl}$ ) with CB in aqueous solution was treated with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ to afford the ternary complex $\left[\mathbf{1}_{2} \subset \mathrm{CB}\right] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}$, which was isolated by filtration. ${ }^{32}$ Alternatively, the use of $\mathrm{KPF}_{6}$ as the counterion-exchange reagent furnished the $1: 1$ complex $[1 \subset \mathrm{CB}] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ because of the weaker $\mathrm{CB}-$ potassium compared to CB-ammonium interaction. ${ }^{33}$ See the Supporting Information for details. These organic soluble complexes can then be employed as a convenient source of $C B$ and the alkyne precursors. ${ }^{34}$

When contemplating the use of P in the cooperative capture strategy, we posited that cationic BIPY ${ }^{2+}$ derivatives CV- $2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (Scheme 2), which are known ${ }^{26 a, 35}$ to have a strong binding affinity for P in polar organic solvents (e.g., $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{MeCN}$, $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ ) would be more appropriate guests than previously
employed azidoalkylammonium salts. ${ }^{36}$ As far as we are aware, $\mathrm{BIPY}^{2+}$ salts ${ }^{37}$ have not been used in the CB-AAC process. A test reaction of $[1 \subset C B] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ with $2 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in MeCN afforded the [3]rotaxane 2C3R•4PF 6 in an isolated yield of $30 \%$ and confirms the fact that BIPY ${ }^{2+}$ salts are viable substrates. See the Supporting Information for full details. The reaction was sluggish, however, and failed to reach completion, even after 2 days at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

An initial trial of the P-CB-AAC (Scheme 1b) was conducted by mixing the rodlike precursor $2 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ ( 1.0 equiv) and P ( 1.2 equiv) in MeCN before addition of the $\mathrm{CB}-$ alkyne complex $\left[\mathbf{1}_{2} \subset \mathrm{CB}\right] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}$ ( 2.2 equiv), which was followed by a change in color of the reaction mixture from pale yellow to orange. Pleasingly, P brought about a considerable acceleration in the reaction rate and was incorporated into the product $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, confirming our hypothesis that it can take part in the cooperative capture of rotaxanes. In three parallel experiments, carried out at different temperatures and monitored by TLC, the reaction reached completion within $2 \mathrm{~min}\left(55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), 40 \mathrm{~min}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and 2 h $\left(-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, respectively. The [4]rotaxane $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ was isolated (Table 1, entry $1,\left[1_{2} \subset C B\right]$ ) by column chromatography in $60-70 \%$ yield. Although satisfactory, the yield was somewhat lower than the cooperative capture mediated by CDs, which affords ${ }^{23}$ [4]rotaxanes in up to $97 \%$. The lower than expected yield may be attributed to competitive binding of the excess of the propargylammonium derivative present in the reaction mixture. ${ }^{38}$ By simply substituting $\left[\mathbf{1}_{2} \subset \mathrm{CB}\right] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}$ with the complex $[1 \subset \mathrm{CB}] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$, which has the desired $1: 1 \mathrm{CB}$ alkyne stoichiometry, the efficiency of the reaction was improved: all starting materials were consumed, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated quantitative conversion to $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$. The isolated yield (Table 1 , entry $1,[1 \subset C B]$ ) after column chromatography

Table 1. Isolated Yields of [4]/[5]Rotaxanes 2C4R-5C5R$4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ Synthesized by Stopper Precursors $[1 \subset \mathrm{CB}] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ and $\left[1_{2} \subset \mathrm{CB}\right] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}$ in Various Different Solvents

| entry | rotaxanes | solvents | isolated yields (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | [1СCB].[ $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ ] | $\left[\mathbf{1}_{2} \mathrm{CCB}\right] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}$ |
| 1 | 2C4R-4PF6 | MeCN | 96 | 70 |
| 2 |  | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $30^{a}$ | $30^{a}$ |
| 3 |  | $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ | $<5^{a}$ | $<5^{a}$ |
| 4 |  | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ | $\mathrm{NI}^{\text {a,c }}$ | $\mathrm{NI}^{a, c}$ |
| 5 | $3 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | MeCN | 95 | 57 |
| 6 |  | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ | $\mathrm{NI}^{\text {a,c }}$ | $\mathrm{NI}^{\text {a,c }}$ |
| 7 | 4C5R.4PF ${ }_{6}$ | MeCN | 90 | 59 |
| 8 |  | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ | $\mathrm{NI}^{\text {b,c }}$ | $\mathrm{NI}^{\text {b,c }}$ |
| 9 | 5C5R $\cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | MeCN | 30 | 13 |
| 10 |  | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ | $\mathrm{NI}^{\text {b,c }}$ | $\mathrm{NI}^{\text {b,c }}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Conditions: $[\mathbf{1} \subset \mathrm{CB}] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (or $\left[\mathbf{1}_{2} \subset \mathrm{CB}\right] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}, 2.5$ equiv), $\mathbf{2 C V}$. $2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (or $3 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}, 1.0$ equiv) and P ( 1.2 equiv), $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$.
${ }^{b}$ Conditions: $[1 \subset C B] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (or $\left[\mathbf{1}_{2} \mathrm{CCB}\right] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}, 2.5$ equiv), 2 CV . $2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}\left(\right.$ or $3 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{P}\left(2.2\right.$ equiv), $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{c} \mathrm{NI}=$ not isolated by silica gel chromatography.
was $96 \%$, indicating that the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CB}-\mathrm{AAC}$ is as efficient as the CD-CB-AAC. It is worth noting that, in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at room temperature, the stopper precursor $[\mathbf{1 C C B}] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ disproportionates (Figure 2) overnight to afford the $2: 1$ complex $\left[\mathbf{1}_{2} \subset \mathrm{CB}\right] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}$ and CB. This process is driven by the precipitation of CB from solution, pushing the equilibrium toward $\left[\mathbf{1}_{2} \subset \mathrm{CB}\right] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}$.


Figure 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( 500 MHz ) of the stopper precursors (a) $\left[\mathbf{1}_{2} \mathrm{CCB}\right] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}$ and (b) $[\mathbf{1 C C B}] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ recorded in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at 298 K .

Having established that the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CB}-\mathrm{AAC}$ reaction tolerates an azidoethylbipyridinium substrate, and that the reaction is accelerated by P, we sought to explore BIPY ${ }^{2+}$ guests of different lengths. In the absence of P , no triazole ring products were formed after mixing stopper precursor $[1 \subset C B] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (or $\left[\mathbf{1}_{2} \mathrm{CCB}\right] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}$ ) with longer rod precursors $3 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ $\mathbf{5 C V} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (Scheme 2). This observation is consistent with all previous reports ${ }^{14-16,37}$ that the distance between the alkyne/ azide and the cation is critical for the supramolecular preorganization and, consequently, templated by CB . In the presence of P , however, the reaction of $[1 \subset \mathrm{CB}] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ with
$3 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in MeCN afforded (Table 1 , entry $5,[\mathbf{1 \subset C B}]$ ) the hetero[4]rotaxane $3 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (Scheme 2) in $95 \%$ isolated yield within 2 h at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. P apparently enables the CB-AAC, which does not take place in the presence of only CB or a CB CD mixture, ${ }^{39}$ by stabilizing an otherwise energetically unfavorable binding geometry of $[1 \subset C B] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ and 3 CV . $2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$. This geometry allows the azide group at the end of the outstretched propylene chain to occupy the center of the CB cavity alongside the alkyne and thus undergo cyclization. Tentatively, we suggest that the ring component of an initial $3 \mathrm{CV}^{2+} \subset \mathrm{P}$ is relatively free to move along the vector of the $\mathrm{BIPY}^{2+}$ without paying a large energy penalty; that is, any increase in free energy as a result of translation is compensated for by the ring-to-ring hydrogen bonding network with $C B$ upon quaternary complex formation. After installation of one triazole ring, the P moves to the other end of the BIPY ${ }^{2+}$ to stabilize the second $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CB}-\mathrm{AAC}$ reaction, installing the second stopper and forging the mechanical bond of the [4]rotaxane (Figure 3).

Upon lengthening the linear spacers yet further to butylene chains $4 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, no hetero[4]rotaxane was identified in the reaction containing stopper precusors, $[1 \subset C B] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ and P . Instead, Mother Nature chooses (Scheme 2) a much more organized output, namely, a hetero[5]rotaxane 4C5R•4PF ${ }_{6}$, which contains two P gaskets threaded onto the dumbbell. The [5]rotaxane $4 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ was isolated (Table 1, entry 7, $[1 \subset C B])$ in $90 \%$ yield after reaction of $4 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6},[1 \subset C B]$. [ $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ ] and P in 1:2.5:2.5 ratio at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h in MeCN solution. Decreasing the molar ratio of $4 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ $\left(4 \mathrm{CV}^{2+}: P=1: 1,1: 1.5\right.$, and $\left.1: 1.8\right)$ does not alter this outcome: no [3]- or [4]rotaxane was observed, and 4C5R-4 $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ was the sole interlocked product. This observation implies that the two adjacent P rings ${ }^{35 b, 40}$ on the [5]rotaxane 4C5R-4PF 6 also communicate with each other through the inter-ring hydrogen bonding, leading to increased stability when compared to analogs with fewer rings and provides the lowest energy cycloaddition pathway.

The pentylene derivative $\mathbf{5 C V} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ gave rise to hetero[5]rotaxane $5 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, although the longer oligomethylene chain seems to impede the reaction, which requires 18 h to reach completion, resulting (Table 1, entry $9,[1 \subset C B]$ ) in a substantially reduced isolated yield of $30 \%$. The decreased reaction rate, and the observation of the corresponding halfreacted dumbbell $5 \mathrm{HD} \cdot 3 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and mono-P-[4]rotaxane analog ( $\mathbf{5 C 4 R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, see the Supporting Information for details) as minor products, suggest that the positive cooperativity has been curtailed, presumably because the four rings can no longer bridge the length of the fully extended dumbbell effectively. These results are consistent with a stepwise mechanism for the formation (Figure 3) of the [5]rotaxane $5 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ : after the first $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CB}-\mathrm{AAC}$ to introduce a stopper at one end of the dumbbell (i) the P ring may shuttle along the $\mathrm{BIPY}^{2+}$ subunit of the dumbbell to mediate the second $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CB}-\mathrm{AAC}$ and capture the [4]rotaxane 5C4R•4PF 6 , (ii) the second $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CB}-\mathrm{AAC}$ can occur via an intermediate with two P gaskets encircling the dumbbell, giving rise to the [5] rotaxane $5 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ with a hydrogen bond network that spans all four rings, or (iii) if no further reaction occurs, the pseudorotaxane intermediate dissociates during purification to yield the half dumbbell 5HD-3 $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$.

In keeping with these observations, the limit of this transformation was reached with the hexylene derivative


Figure 3. Graphical representation of the proposed mechanism for the formation of hetero[4]/[5]rotaxanes. The hatched lines in the graphical representation indicate the hydrogen bonding interactions between the CB and P rings.






Figure 4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( 500 MHz ) of $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, recorded in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at 298 K . Three conformational isomers of the [4]rotaxane are present, colored in red $\left(2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)$, blue $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)$, and green $\left(\mathbf{2 C 4 R} \mathbf{C} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)$, respectively.
$6 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ which only affords trace amounts of the [5]rotaxane 6C5R-4 $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ after stirring at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for more than a week.

Solvent Effects. Initially, we probed the P-CB-AAC in MeCN as it appeared to be the most convenient solvent in which to dissolve the CB-containing precursors $[\mathbf{1} \subset \mathrm{CB}] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ and $\left[\mathbf{1}_{2} \subset \mathrm{CB}\right] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}$. During the course of our investigations,
we observed that the $\mathbf{C V}^{2+} \subset \mathrm{P}$, which is soluble in MeCN at the outset, gradually precipitates out of solution over a period of time ( 30 min to 1 h ). This process appears to have little impact on reactions which proceed to completion within this time period, as evidenced by the excellent isolated yields; we suspect, however, that the lower yields, obtained over longer experiment
times, might be attributed in part to this process which effectively shuts down the reaction. Measuring the thermodynamic parameters for binding (binding constants $K_{2}$, enthalpy $\Delta H$ and entropy $\Delta S$ ) of the P and $(2-5 \mathrm{CV}) \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ complexes in MeCN , however, is not a trivial matter because of solubility issues. Switching to other polar solvents, e.g., $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$, avoids the $\mathrm{CV}^{2+} \subset \mathrm{P}$ solubility issue but raises new problems: when the rotaxanation is performed in $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ it requires (Table 1, entries 2 and 3) much longer reaction times (more than 18 h ) with significantly lower yields ( $<50 \%$ ) because of the poor solubility of the stopper precursors $\left[\mathbf{1}_{2} \subset \mathrm{CB}\right] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}$ and $[\mathbf{1} \subset \mathrm{CB}] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$.

P is known to form both 1:1 and 1:2 complexes with BIPY ${ }^{2+}$ derivatives in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ solutions: ${ }^{35 \mathrm{~b}}$ indeed, a homogeneous solution of all reactants, including $\mathrm{CV}^{2+}, \mathrm{P}$, and $\left[\mathbf{1}_{2} \subset \mathrm{CB}\right] /$ $[1 \subset C B]$, can be achieved. Despite this practical breakthrough, no mechanically interlocked product was obtained (Table 1, entries $4,6,8$, and 10 ) in the reaction. It seems that solventsolute interactions dominate in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ because of its strong hydrogen bond acceptor capacity ${ }^{29}\left(\beta_{\text {sulfoxide }}=8.9, \mathrm{cf}\right.$. $\beta_{\text {nitrile }}=$ 4.7) which inhibits assembly of the productive multicomponent complexes. This result implies that the inter-ring hydrogen bonding interactions between CB, P and the substrates is the dominating factor in the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CB}-\mathrm{AAC}$.

Conformational Analysis. In principle, P can adopt (Figure 4) four enantiomeric pairs of diastereoisomeric conformations in solution on account of the oxygen-through-the-annulus rotation ${ }^{41}$ of the hydroquinone rings in $P$. These conformations undergo rapid inversion and interconversion in solution on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR time scale at room temperature. The rate of this rotation can be slowed down at low temperature (below $-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in the presence of a $\mathrm{BIPY}^{2+}$ unit as a guest. ${ }^{42}$ Following the formation of $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, the change in conformation of the P ring is impeded since its cavity is occupied by the BIPY ${ }^{2+}$ unit in the dumbbell, such that there is no space available for any of the hydroquinone rings to rotate through the annulus of P . For this reason, the hetero[4]rotaxane $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ can exist as four conformational isomers: ${ }^{42} \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$, and $\mathbf{D}$ with their corresponding enantiomers (Figure 4) $\overline{\mathbf{A}}, \overline{\mathbf{B}}, \overline{\mathbf{C}}$, and $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$. Conformational isomer A has $C_{5}$ symmetry, while the other three conformational isomers ( $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$, and $\mathbf{D}$ ) all have $C_{2}$ symmetry. Proton resonances (aromatic protons $m$ and hydroxyl protons $n$, Figure 4) of $P$ in conformational isomer $A$ should exhibit two individual singlet peaks, while in the other three conformational isomers (B, C, and D) five singlet peaks for $m$ and five singlet peaks for $n$ should be exhibited, respectively, in the ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectrum.

The hetero[4]rotaxane $\mathbf{2 C} \mathbf{C R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$, and its formation was confirmed by the appearance of the resonance at 6.7 ppm for the triazole ring proton $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Figure 4). Three sets of $\alpha$ proton resonances ( $\delta 9.3-9.6 \mathrm{ppm}$ in Figure 4) from the $\mathrm{BIPY}^{2+}$ unit in the dumbbell and three $\beta$ proton resonances ( $\delta$ $5.3-6.0 \mathrm{ppm})$ reveal the presence of three isomers associated with different P ring conformations. The $\beta$ proton resonances are moved upfield compared with those for the rod precursor $2 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, while the $\alpha$ proton resonances are shifted significantly downfield, indicating that the $\beta$ protons are shielded by P while the $\alpha$ protons are deshielded. This observation is consistent with the fact that the P ring encircles the BIPY ${ }^{2+}$ unit. On heating the hetero[4]rotaxane to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$, we noted that the multiple
$\alpha$ and $\beta$ proton resonances do not undergo coalescence on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR time scale. In the HSQC spectrum (see the Supporting Information), a total of 22 different OH and aromatic proton resonances ( $\delta 6.1-8.3 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) can be observed (Figure 4) and assigned to the P ring. These observations suggest that the $P$ ring of the hetero[4]rotaxane $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ exists in three different conformations, ${ }^{43}$ which are unable ${ }^{44}$ to undergo inversion or interconversion upon heating to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Conformational isomer A (in red, Figure 4) of the $P$ ring in 2C4R-4PF ${ }_{6}$, which gives rise to the simplest spectrum on account of its $C_{5}$ symmetry, was identified first of all in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, with the aid of two-dimensional NMR techniques (see the Supporting Information for the COSY, NOESY, HSQC, and HMBC NMR spectra). Identification of the other two conformational isomers out of the total three is not trivial: we begin with distinguishing proton $m$ from $n$ by HSQC and HMBC spectra, followed by linking the allneighboring protons sequentially in the same P ring by 2 D NOESY experiments. 2D NOESY spectra of 2C4R-4PF 6 have been obtained with different mixing times ( $200 \mathrm{~ms}, 300 \mathrm{~ms}$, and 400 ms , respectively) at 298 K . A full build-up NOE signal between protons $m$ and $n$ on the $P$ rings requires a longer mixing time ( $\geq 400 \mathrm{~ms}$ ). On the basis of an analysis of the NOE correlation peaks (see the Supporting Information), two conformational isomers, namely, $\mathbf{B}$ (in blue) and $\mathbf{C}$ (in green), can be identified in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Figure 4): conformational isomer $\mathbf{D}$ is not observed. The populations of the conformational isomers in $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, calculated from integration of their particular ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals, are (Table 2) in

Table 2. Conformational Isomer Distribution of the [4]Rotaxanes 2C4R-4PF 6 and $3 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ Synthesized in MeCN at Different Temperatures

|  |  | conformational isomer distribution $^{a}$ (\%) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [4]rotaxane | temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathbf{A}+\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ | $\mathbf{B}+\overline{\mathbf{B}}$ | $\mathbf{C}+\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ | $\mathbf{D}+\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ |
| 2C4R•4PF |  | -10 | 36 | 52 | 12 |
| $\mathrm{NO}^{b}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25 | 29 | 55 | 16 | NO |
| 3C4R•4PF |  | 55 | 17 | 59 | 24 |
| NO |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 55 | 30 | 40 | 23 | 7 |

${ }^{a}$ the conformational isomer distribution was calculated from the relative integrated intensities of proton resonances for each conformational isomer of the hetero[4]-, [5]rotaxanes in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{NO}=$ not observed.
the sequence of $\mathbf{B}>\mathbf{A}>\mathbf{C}$. In order to acquire a better understanding of the conformational composition, $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ was synthesized at -10 and $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. The kinetics of the rotaxane formation are significantly different at these temperatures; i.e., the reaction goes to completion within 2 min at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but requires 2 h to do so at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Once again, only three conformational isomers ( $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ ) are observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. When the temperature of the reaction is low, only the population of conformational isomer $\mathbf{A}$ increases gradually, an observation indicates that $\mathbf{2 C 4 R}_{(\mathbf{A} / \bar{A})}$ is the thermodynamically most favorable product. Conformational isomer A, which supports the least number of intraring hydrogen bonds ( $\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{OH}$ hydrogen bonding) in the P ring, is able to form the strongest hydrogen bonding network with CBs on the dumbbell of $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$. By contrast, the OH groups in conformational isomer $\mathbf{D}$ which has the greatest propensity to from intraring hydrogen bonds ${ }^{43}$ are less able to form hydrogen bonds with CB. Even when the reaction is


Figure 5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ of $4 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{AA}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{TFA}$, recorded in $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ at 298 K .


Figure 6. Computationally generated structure of the hetero [ $n$ ]rotaxanes (a) $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R}^{4+}$, (b) $\mathbf{3 C 4 R} \mathbf{R}^{4+}$, (c) $4 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{R}^{4+}$, and (d) $5 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{R}^{4+}$ using a simulated annealing process employing the OPLS-2005 force field, in which all P rings adopt conformation A with $C_{5}$ symmetry as shown in Figure 4 . The green dashed lines indicate the hydrogen-bonding interactions in these rotaxanes.
performed at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the major product is $2 \mathbf{C} 4 \mathrm{R}_{(\mathrm{B} / \overline{\mathrm{B}})}$, an observation which suggests that the energy difference between conformational isomers $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ is really quite small.

Four conformational isomers ( $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$, and $\mathbf{D}$ ) can be observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the hetero[4]rotaxane $3 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$. By following a procedure similar to that adopted for $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, all the conformational isomers could be identified and their relative populations were found to be $\mathbf{A}$ (30\%), B ( $40 \%$ ), C ( $23 \%$ ), and D (7\%), respectively. This observation suggests that all four conformational isomers of P can form stable complexes with $3 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in solution and hence be captured by the rod precursor $[1 \subset C B] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$. The relative populations of the conformational isomers of P in $3 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ follow a similar trend to those of P in $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$. The $\alpha$ and $\beta$ protons of the $\mathrm{BIPY}^{2+}$ unit in $3 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ are less
shielded and deshielded by P , respectively, than in $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$. This comparison implies that the P ring can shuttle along the $\mathrm{BIPY}^{2+}$ unit in 3C4R•4PF 6 because of its extended oligomethylene chain length. In addition, even although the P ring adopts the same conformations in $3 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, the chemical shifts of resonances for protons signals $m$ and $n$ in the conformational isomers A, B and $\mathbf{C}$ are very different compared with those in the corresponding conformational isomers in 2C4R-4PF ${ }_{6}$.

In theory, the hetero[5]rotaxane 4C5R-4PF 6 bearing two P rings on its dumbbell can exist (see the Supporting Information) as 20 different stereoisomers. The proton signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $4 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ are not well separated, rendering the full analysis of the each P conformation extremely challenging. Fortunately, the most abundant conformation
present in $4 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ can be separated by recycling reverse phase HPLC. There are two hydroxyl protons signals at 9.0 ppm as well as two aromatic protons ( 7.35 and 6.73 ppm ) which can be assigned (Figure 5) to P. This assignment indicates that in this fraction, the two $P$ rings adopt conformation $\mathbf{A} / \overline{\mathbf{A}}$ with $C_{5}$ symmetry, obligating one set of protons ( $n$ and $m$ ) to point toward the CB ring and another set ( $n^{\prime}$ and $m^{\prime}$ ) to point away. There are still, however two enantiomers ( $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{A}} \overline{\mathbf{A}}$ ) and one other stereoisomer ( $\mathbf{A} \overline{\mathbf{A}}$ ). It is worth noting that the resonance ( $\delta=4.67 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) for the $\alpha$ proton in the BIPY ${ }^{2+}$ unit is shifted upfield significantly whereas the resonance for the $\beta$ proton is shifted downfield. In addition, the resonances for protons $g$ and $h$ of the oligomethylene chain are shielded and their resonances appear at high field compared to those for the rod precursor. This phenomenon suggests that two P rings are threaded along the dumbbell symmetrically, each of them occupying half of the BIPY ${ }^{2+}$ unit and the oligomethylene chain. The formation of a highly symmetrical structure in the case of 4C5R is under thermodynamic control. The $P$ ring conformational preference in the hetero[5]rotaxane 4 C 5 R is a consequence of the relative strength of the hydrogen bonding interactions - whereas both the CB- $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{CB}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}$ inter-ring interactions are of similar energy, optimal hydrogen bonding between P rings only occurs when both adopt their $C_{5}$ conformations.

Molecular Modeling. Slow vapor diffusion of $i \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ into a $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ solution of rotaxane $2 \mathbf{C} 4 \mathrm{R}$ afforded single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction. Alas, the crystals were weakly diffracting and the data could be refined to a resolution of 1.30 $\AA$ (see the Supporting Information): the situation is, no doubt, complicated by the presence of isomers giving rise to disorder in the solid state. From the partially resolved structure, it is possible to distinguish the three ring components threaded on the dumbbell, with a $P$ ring positioned between two CB rings. Since no detailed structural information could be garnered from this data, we appealed to molecular mechanics simulations in order to gain a better understanding of the noncovalent bonding interactions that direct rotaxane formation and influence the choice and close up distribution of isomers. Simulations were performed starting from all four P isomers (A-D in Figure 4) to determine the lowest energy structure for the rotaxane 2C4R: see the Supporting Information. The simulated structure (Figure 6a) of 2C4R incorporating a P ring locked in conformation A closely matches the structure suggested by the X-ray crystallographic analysis. ${ }^{46}$ Owing to its $C_{5}$ symmetric conformation, all phenolic hydroxyl groups in this conformation of P are able to participate in hydrogen bonding interactions with the neighboring carbonyl groups of the CBs, thus maximizing the stabilizing inter-ring interactions. The capacity of P ring in its $C_{5}$ symmetric conformation to participate in inter-ring hydrogen bonding is diminished in the case of the $C_{2}$ symmetric conformations $\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{D}$ as a result of internal hydrogen bonding between some of the phenolic hydroxyl groups. The P ring of the rotaxane 2 C 4 R in conformation $\mathbf{D}$ was found to have the maximized intraring hydrogen bonding, in keeping with the fact that it has the fewest inter-ring hydrogen bonding interactions with CB rings. This difference in the inter-ring hydrogen bonding networks may explain why conformational isomer $\mathbf{D}$ was not observed for $\mathbf{2 C 4 R}$ in practice.

We have discovered that lengthening the rod precursor by two methylene units slows down rotaxane formation from 2 $\min (\mathbf{2 C 4 R})$ to $2 \mathrm{~h}(\mathbf{3 C 4 R})$. It is evident from the simulated
structure of 3C4R that the contiguous hydrogen bonding network is disrupted, with fewer inter-ring hydrogen bonds. The CB rings, which occupy the ammonium binding sites, are held much further apart, preventing the $P$ ring from sealing the gap between them completely. During formation of 3C4R, the ensemble benefits much less from the positive cooperativity, generated by inter-ring hydrogen bonding, leading to a reduced reaction rate. Additionally, the energy difference between isomers of 3 C 4 R is not as significant as it is in the case of $\mathbf{2 C 4 R}$, in accordance with the fact that all four isomers have been identified experimentally on the dumbbell of 3 C 4 R .
[5]Rotaxanes 4C5R and 5C5R follow a similar trend to those of the [4]rotaxanes $2 \mathbf{C} 4 \mathrm{R}$ and 3 C 4 R . The spacing of rings (Figure 6c) in 4C5R is optimal, leading to (i) a high degree of positive cooperativity, (ii) a high yield, (iii) a short reaction time, and (iv) a noticeable preference for the isomers $\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{AA}}, 4 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{AA}}\right.$ and $\left.4 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{R}_{\overline{\mathrm{AA}}}\right)$ in which the P rings adopt $C_{5}$ conformation. The longer oligomethylene chains in 5C5R (Figure 6d) result in longer inter-ring hydrogen bonding distances and, hence, weaker interactions. A consequence of changing the strength of the inter-ring interactions is reflected in a change in the specificity of the reaction favoring the [5]rotaxane, i.e., 4C5R is preferred over the [5]rotaxanes regardless of the reaction stoichiometry, but the [4]rotaxane analog (5C4R) of the [5]rotaxane 5C5R was identified as a side product.

## CONCLUSIONS

The cooperative capture strategy ${ }^{23}$ for templating the formation of heterorotaxanes containing cyclodextrins has been extended to the use of pillar[5]arene rings as promoters. Compared to the interactions between cyclodextrins and cucurbiturils, the superior hydrogen-bonding interactions between the faces of cucurbit[6]uril and pillar[5]arene, provided the constitutions of the dumbbells are of appropriate lengths, can (i) accelerate 1,3dipolar cycloadditions between azides and alkynes, affording two three-ring rotaxanes and one four-ring rotaxane in high yields, as well as (ii) support cycloadditions with a broader range of substrates, varying from 2 -azidoethyl- to 5azidopentylpyridinium ions. The siting of the pillar[5]arene rings around bipyridinium units stabilizes otherwise energetically unfavorable coconformations of the alkyne and azide moieties within the cucurbit[6]uril cavity, and allows pillar[5]arene ring(s) to promote cycloadditions at each end of the nascent dumbbells sequentially. All four conformations (A-D) of pillar[5]arene have been observed experimentally and characterized fully by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy in the case of 3C4R. The populations of the trapped and fixed conformational isomers ${ }^{42}$ of the pillar[5]arenes in the hetero[4]/ [5]rotaxanes appear to be at least partially under thermodynamic control, while the relative yields of one $\left(\mathbf{2 C 4 R} \mathbf{R}_{A}\right)$ of the isomers is observed to increase as the reaction temperature decreases. Molecular mechanical simulations also suggest that the relative energies among the conformational isomers in these rotaxanes are similar. The cooperative hydrogen-bonding interactions between cucurbit[6]uril and pillar[5]arene rings give rise to a high level of preorganization during rotaxane formation, which, on one hand, greatly enhances cucurbit[6]uril's activity toward templating the 1,3 -dipolar cycloaddition and, on the other hand, controls the conformational distribution of the pillar[5]arene rings. These results add yet another cooperative approach to the syntheses of mechanically interlocked molecules with high efficiencies and good constitu-
tional integrities. Furthermore, a new strategy for altering template activity employing noncovalent bonding interactions has been demonstrated. These findings expand the use of cucurbituril-catalyzed 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions for the development of highly ordered polyrotaxanes and add a fresh approach to the practice of supramolecular catalysis.

## - EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. All reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers (Aldrich and Fisher) and used as received. Pillar[5]arene ${ }^{26 \mathrm{~h}}$ (P), cucurbit[6] uril $^{47}$ (CB), and the rod precursors ${ }^{48}\left(2-6 C V \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)$ were synthesized as reported in the literature. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel 60 F254 (E. Merck). Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel 60F (Merck $9385,0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Caution: Significant amounts of rotaxanes $\mathbf{2 C} 4 \mathrm{R}-\mathbf{5 C} 5 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ are retained on silica columns, and so it is important to use a minimum amount of silica gel. UV-vis Spectra were measured on a Shimadzu 3600 UV-vis-NIR spectrometer with a temperature control system, employing cuvettes with a pathway of either 2 or 10 mm . Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 500 or 600 spectrometers with working frequencies of 500 or 600 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 125 or 150 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei, respectively. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to the signals corresponding to the residual nondeuterated solvents $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ : $\delta=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: \delta=1.94 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \delta=4.62 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}: \delta=2.50 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. High-resolution mass spectra were measured on a Finnigan LCQ iontrap mass spectrometer (HR-ESI).
$[1 \subset C B] \cdot\left[P F_{6}\right] .1 \cdot \mathrm{Cl}(600 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CB}(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ were stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , after which time the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and the insoluble residue removed by filtration. When $\mathrm{KPF}_{6}(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 27.1$ mmol ) was added to the aqueous solution, a white precipitate was generated. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with excess of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried under reduced pressure to afford $[1 \mathrm{CCB}] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right](3.2 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $95 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=7.34$ (bs, 2 H$), 6.87$ (d, $J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, $5.37(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left[1_{2} \subset C B\right] \cdot\left[P F_{6}\right]_{2} \cdot 1 \cdot \mathrm{Cl}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CB}(800 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ were stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, and the insoluble residue was removed by filtration. When $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(1.62 \mathrm{~g}, 10$ mmol ) was added to the aqueous solution, a white precipitate was generated. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with excess of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried under reduced pressure to afford $\left[\mathbf{1}_{2} \subset \mathrm{CB}\right] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}$ $(1.34 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $95 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=6.80(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $6.58(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, $4.32(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta=160.9,155.3,155.1,132.3,107.5$, 100.7, 69.4, 68.2, 54.9, 50.8, 50.3, 49.6, 49.5, 35.4; HR-ESI-MS calcd for $\left[\mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{2+} m / z=704.2647$, found $m / z=704.2643$; calcd for $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+} m / z=1553.4941$, found $m / z=1553.4897$.

2C4R-4PF ${ }_{6}$. (Approach 1) [1CCB]. $\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right](172 \mathrm{mg}, 127.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, $2 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 42.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{P}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 57.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{MeCN}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture stirred at room temperature. The color of the reaction mixture turns orange immediately. The reaction was monitored by TLC ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}: \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ with $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v}), \mathrm{I}_{2}$ stain, $R_{f}=0.3$ ) and was found to be complete inside 1 h . The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}: \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ with $0.2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and then $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ with $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{v}))$. The fraction with $R_{f}=0.3\left(\mathrm{TLC} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}: \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right.$ with $2 \%$ $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v})\right)$ was collected, and the solvent was removed under the reduced pressure. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the residue to remove excess of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, and the product "crashed out" as an orange precipitate. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with an excess of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to remove $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, and dried under high vacuum to afford the hetero [4]rotaxane $2 \mathbf{C 4 R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ as an orange powder $(145 \mathrm{mg}$, yield $95 \%$ ). (Approach 2) $2 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 17.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and P ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 26.2$ $\mu \mathrm{mol})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{MeCN}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at an appropriate
temperature $\left(-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, 25 and $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively) until complex formation reached equilibrium. Then, $\left[\mathbf{1}_{2} \subset \mathrm{CB}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}(65 \mathrm{mg}, 38.3$ $\mu \mathrm{mol})$ was added in one portion to the reaction mixture, whereupon the color of the mixture changed to orange. The reaction was monitored by TLC $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}: \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right.$ with $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v}), \mathrm{I}_{2}$ stain, $R_{f}$ $=0.3$ ). After all of the $2 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ had been consumed, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}: \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right.$ with $0.2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and then $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ with $\left.2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v})\right)$. The fraction with $R_{f}=0.3$ (TLC/ $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}: \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ with $\left.2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v})\right)$ was collected, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the residue to remove excess of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, whereupon the product "crashed out" as an orange precipitate. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with an excess of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to remove $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, and dried under high vacuum to afford the hetero[4]rotaxane $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ as an orange powder (yield 60-70\%).

In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R$ spectrum of hetero[4]rotaxane $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, three, namely $2 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}, 2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and $2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, of the four possible conformational isomers $2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}, 2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}, 2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}$. $4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, and $\mathbf{2 C 4 R} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{D}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ were observed. No $\mathbf{2 C} 4 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{D}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ was detected by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. When the separation of the three conformational isomers was attempted using recycling reversed-phrase HPLC, the isomers invariably eluted together as a mixture. HR-ESIMS of the three-component mixture of $2 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ : calcd for $[\mathrm{M}-$ $\left.4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{4+} m / z=827.7894$, found $m / z=827.7890,\left[\mathrm{M}-3 \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{3+} \mathrm{m} / z=$ 1152.0406, found $m / z=1152.0403,\left[\mathrm{M}-3 \mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{HPF}_{6}\right]^{3+} \mathrm{m} / z=$ 1103.3835, found $m / z=1103.3829$. By comparing the relative integrated intensities for each of the proton resonances in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum with $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{HSQC}$, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOESY spectra (see the Supporting Information), we were able to assign every proton signal in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum to one of the three conformational isomers. The relative conformations (A, B and C) of the P rings were assigned by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOESY spectra and the relative abundances of the three isomers in the mixture obtained from integration are listed in Table 2 . We have extracted the proton signal assignments for each conformational isomer (A, B and C) of 2C4R$4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and listed them below. Note that the proton counts listed below are relative ones for each conformational isomer.

Conformational isomer $2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 9.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.93 (dd, $J=15.5,12 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 5.21-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0,4 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.17$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=15.4,12 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H})$. Conformational isomer $2 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 9.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.07$ (bs, 4H), 7.82 (s, 2H), 7.81 (s,2H), 7.65 (s, 2H), 7.30 $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,12 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 5.21-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0,4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.41(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4,12 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Conformational isomer $2 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 9.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.18$ (s, 2H), 8.07 (bs, 4H), 7.47 (s,2H), 7.18 (s, 2 H$), 7.15$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.93 (dd, $J=15.5,12 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 24 \mathrm{H})$, $5.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.21-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0,4 \mathrm{H}), 4.41$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4,12 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.62$ (m, 4H), $3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
$3 C 4 R \cdot 4 P_{6}$. (Approach 1) $[1 \subset \mathrm{CB}] \cdot\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right](172 \mathrm{mg}, 127.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, $3 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 40.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{P}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 57.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{MeCN}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture stirred at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The color of the reaction mixture turned orange immediately. The reaction was monitored by TLC $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}: \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right.$ with $2.5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v}), \mathrm{I}_{2}$ stain, $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.3$ ) and was found to be complete inside 2 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by
column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}: \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right.$ with $0.2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and then $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ with $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v})$ ). The fraction with $R_{f}=0.3$ (TLC/ $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}: \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ with $2.5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v})$ ) was collected, and the solvent was removed under the reduced pressure. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the residue to remove excess of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, whereupon the product "crashed out" as an orange precipitate. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with an excess of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to remove $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and dried under high vacuum to afford the hetero[4]rotaxane $3 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ as an orange powder ( 145 mg , yield $95 \%$ ). (Approach 2) $3 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ $(12 \mathrm{mg}, 18.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{P}(18 \mathrm{mg}, 29.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{MeCN}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until complex formation reached equilibrium. Then, $\left[1_{2} \subset \mathrm{CB}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}(65 \mathrm{mg}, 38.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added in one portion to the reaction mixture, whereupon the color of the mixture changes to orange. The reaction was monitored by TLC $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}: \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right.$ with $2.5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v}), \mathrm{I}_{2}$ stain, $\left.R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.3\right)$. After all of the $2 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ had been consumed, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}: \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right.$ with $0.2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and then $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ with $\left.3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v})\right)$. The fraction with $R_{f}=0.3$ (TLC/SiO $2: \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ with $2.5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v})$ ) was collected, and the solvent was removed under the reduced pressure. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the residue to remove excess of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, whereupon the product "crashed out" as an orange precipitate. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with an excess of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to remove $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, and dried under high vacuum to afford the hetero[4]rotaxane $3 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ as an orange powder (yield 59\%).

In the case of the hetero[4]rotaxane $3 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, all four possible conformational isomers, namely $3 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}, 3 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6} 3 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}$. $4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, and $3{\mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{D}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6} \text { have been observed by }{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR spectroscopy. }}_{\text {NM }}$ When the separation of the four conformational isomers was attempted using recycling reverse phrase HPLC, the isomers invariably eluted together as a mixture. HR-ESI-MS of the four-component mixture of $3 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ : calcd for $\left[\mathrm{M}-4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{4+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=834.7969$, found $m / z=834.7972,\left[\mathrm{M}-3 \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{3+} m / z=1161.3841$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ 1161.3833, $\left[\mathrm{M}-3 \mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{HPF}_{6}\right]^{3+} m / z=1112.7267$, found $m / z=$ 1112.7259. By comparing the relative integrated intensities for each of the proton resonances in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum with $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOESY spectra (see the Supporting Information), we were able to assign every proton signal in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum to one of the four conformational isomers. The relative conformations ( $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ ) of the P rings were assigned from an analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOESY spectra and the relative abundances of the four isomers in the mixture are listed in Table 2. We have extracted the proton signal assignments for each conformational isomer ( $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ ) of $3 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and they are listed below. Note that the proton counts listed below are the relative ones for each conformational isomer.

Conformational isomer $3 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \alpha), 8.16\left(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.38$ $(\mathrm{s}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b}), 6.81(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a})$, $6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{e}), 5.95-5.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 5.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\beta$ ), $5.33(\mathrm{~s}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{z}), 4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{h}), 4.44-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{c}$ and d), 4.24 (d, $\left.J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 4.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OMe})$, $3.97-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{f}+\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{k})$. Conformational isomer $3 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}$. $4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 8.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \alpha)$, 8.16 (bs, 4H, NH2 ), 7.96 ( s, 2H, OH), 7.82 ( s, 2H, OH), 7.51 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{OH}), 7.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a}), 6.50(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{e}), 6.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 5.95-5.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}+\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 5.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \beta), 5.33(\mathrm{~s}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{z}), 4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{h})$, $4.44-4.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{c}\right.$ and d), $4.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 4.11(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $\left.=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.97-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{f}+\mathrm{g}+$ k). Conformational isomer $3 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 8.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \alpha), 8.16\left(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 8.05$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH})$, $7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, b), $6.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a}), 6.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\operatorname{ArH}), 6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{e}), 5.95-5.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 5.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \beta), 5.33(\mathrm{~s}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{z}), 4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{h}), 4.44-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{c}$ and d), $4.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 4.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}$,
$12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.97-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{f}+\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{k})$. Conformational isomer $3 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{D}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 8.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \alpha), 8.16\left(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.95$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 6.93 (m, 4H, b), $6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a}), 6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{e})$, 6.40 (s, 2H, ArH), 6.26 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 6.26 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 5.95-5.71 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 5.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \beta), 5.33(\mathrm{~s}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{z}), 4.80$ (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{h}), 4.44-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{c}$ and d), $4.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 4.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.97-0.85(\mathrm{~m}$, $28 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{f}+\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{k})$.
$4 C 5 R_{\text {AA }} \cdot 4$ TFA. $4 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv) and P ( 60 $\mathrm{mg}, 98 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) were mixed in $\mathrm{MeCN}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min before $[1 \subset \mathrm{CB}](140 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) was added. The reaction was monitored by TLC $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}: \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right.$ with $2 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v}), \mathrm{I}_{2}$ stain, $\left.R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.28\right)$. After all the $4 \mathrm{CV} \cdot 2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ had been consumed, the solvent was removed, and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}: \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right.$ with $0.3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and then $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ with $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v})$ ). The fraction with $R_{f}=0.28$ (TLC/ $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}: \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ with $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v})$ ) was collected and the solvent was removed under the reduced pressure. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the residue to remove excess of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, whereupon the product "crashed out" as an orange precipitate. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with an excess of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to remove $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, and dried under high vacuum to afford $4 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{R} \cdot 4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ as a light orange powder (yield $59 \%$ ). In the case of the hetero[5]rotaxane 4C5R•4PF ${ }_{6}$, while several isomers are observed, it is nigh impossible assign them to each of the four different conformational isomers of P rings on the dumbbell. When the separation of the conformational isomers was attempted using recycling reversed-phase HPLC $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeCN}(0.1 \%\right.$ TFA) $=80: 20$ to 60: 40 in 40 min , flow rate: $17 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), three major fractions were collected (see the Supporting Information). Only one fraction was obtained pure (fraction 2, yield $80 \%$ ) and the conformation was assigned to one in which both P rings adopt a $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ conformation, namely $4 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{AA}} \cdot 4 \mathrm{TFA}$, resulting from an analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum together with the $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOESY spectra (see the Supporting Information): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta 8.96(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 8.80$ $(\mathrm{s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.49$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 5.54$ $(\mathrm{s}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, 3.63 (bs, 20H), $3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta=160.0,157.6,155.8,155.5$, 147.9, 145.0, 143.0, 136.2, 133.7, 127.7, 126.2, 124.3, 118.7, 118.3, $117.3,107.9,101.0,69.4,69.3,61.9,55.2,52.8,50.8,50.4,50.0,43.2$, 28.4, 26.9, 23.3, 22.5; HR-ESI-MS calcd for $\left[\mathrm{M}-4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}+4 \mathrm{H}\right]^{4+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ 994.6014, found $m / z=994.6042,\left[\mathrm{M}-4 \mathrm{PF}_{6}+3 \mathrm{H}\right]^{3+} m / z=$ 1325.4651, found $m / z=1325.4667$.
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